Childhood onset of migraine, gender, parental social class, and trait neuroticism as predictors of the prevalence of migraine in adulthood

Helen Cheng [a,b], Luke Treglown [a], Andy Green [b], Benjamin P. Chapman [c], Ekaterina N. Kornilaki [d], Adrian Furnham [a,e,*]

[a] Research Department of Clinical, Educational and Health Psychology, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK
[b] ESRC Centre for Learning and Life Chances in Knowledge Economies and Societies, Institute of Education, University College London, London WC1H 0AL, UK
[c] Department of Psychiatry, University of Rochester, School of Medicine and Dentistry, 300 Crittendon, Rochester, NY 14642, USA
[d] Department of Preschool Education, University of Crete, Greece
[e] BI: Norwegian Business School, Nydalsveien 37, 0484 Oslo, Norway

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the effects of socio-demographic and psychological factors in childhood and adulthood on the prevalence of migraine in adulthood using data from The National Child Development Studies (NCDS), a birth cohort in the UK. The analytical sample comprises 5799 participants with complete data. Logistic regression analysis showed that higher professional parental social class (OR = 2.0: 1.05, 3.86, $p < 0.05$), female sex (OR = 2.24: 1.68–2.99, $p < 0.001$), migraine in childhood diagnosed by physicians (OR = 1.76: 1.23–2.50, $p < 0.01$), and higher trait neuroticism (OR = 1.17:1.26-1.06, $p < 0.01$): < 0 were all significantly associated with the prevalence of migraine in adulthood. Both socio-demographic and personality factors were significantly associated with the prevalence of migraine in adulthood.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Migraine is a primary headache disorder of neurovascular origin [1], associated with autonomic symptoms such as cranial throbbing and unilateral pain. Further symptomology can include neurological aura symptoms, which are present in roughly one-third of patients [2]. Migraines have been described as the most burdensome of the headache disorders [3,4] affecting roughly 18% of women and 6% of men [5,6]. Migraine prevalence is highest between ages 25–55 years [7], and more than half of migraine sufferers reported functional impairment or severe impairment in activities or required bed rest; the proportion of respondents reporting severe disability was similar between females and males [6].

In a number of studies, migraine has been found to be associated with lower household income in the USA [6,8,9]. However, the inverse relationship between migraine and socioeconomic status has not been confirmed in studies outside the United States [10,11,12,13], in these studies no difference in migraine prevalence by socioeconomic status was found. Nevertheless, the links between social class and health outcomes have well been demonstrated in the literature.

Ligthart and Boomsma [14] examined monozygotic twins discordant in psychiatric disorder to assess the appropriateness of genetic causality (one trait causing the other) and pleiotropy (one gene causing multiple effects) in explaining migraine prevalence. Their investigation supported genetic causality, finding the risk of migraine was far greater in the twin with higher Neuroticism [14]. Neurological correlates have been implicated in connecting the disorders, specifically the neurotransmitter serotonin, which has been postulated to underlie the migraine-psychiatric disorder comorbidity [6,15,16,17].

The presence of mental stressors is also recurrently cited within the literature as corollaries and aggravators for migraine attacks [18]. Both patients and physicians assign large importance to stressful events as triggers for migraines, with 62% of patients retrospectively reporting psychosocial stress precipitating the attacks [19,20].

Research has demonstrated that personality variables also play an important role in migraine prevalence [21]. Neuroticism, in particular, has been strongly implicated with numerous health outcomes and longevity [22,23,24,25,26]. Earlier Eysenck and Eysenck [27] noted that individuals high in Neuroticism and low in Conscientiousness were more prone to developing chronic psychosomatic illnesses.

Neuroticism has been found to be a correlate of migraines; studies using the MMPI find the 'neurotic triad', comprising of hypochondria, hysteria, and depression [28]. Furthermore, research utilising the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire (EPQ; [27]) has repeatedly demonstrated that

* Corresponding author at: Research Department of Clinical, Educational and Health Psychology, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK.
E-mail address: a.furnham@ucl.ac.uk (A. Furnham).

migraine patients have significantly higher Neuroticism scores than non-migraine controls [12,29,30].

Conscientiousness has been found to be positively associated with various health outcomes [31] and longevity [26]. Bogg and Roberts [22] conducted a meta-analysis of conscientiousness-related traits and the leading behavioural contributors to mortality in the United States. Based on 194 studies that were quantitatively synthesized results showed that conscientiousness-related traits were negatively related to all risky health-related behaviours and positively related to all beneficial health-related behaviours [22].

However, the use of different personality conceptualisations and inventories making it difficult to generalise across studies [32]. The current study has used the primary comprehensive taxonomy of personality, the Big Five personality factors, to find personality correlates of migraines.

Intelligence has been found to link with various health outcomes (e.g. [33,34]) and mortality [35].

In the current study, we are particularly interested in the links between individual differences (intelligence and personality) and migraine as these two components are, to some extent, inter-correlated [36] but few studies have looked at them together. We also included all these other social and childhood biomedical variables as potential confounders (factors driving both adult personality and migraine risk) to determine whether and to what extent each of these factors would affect the outcome variable.

1.1. Hypotheses

This study has drawn data from a large, representative longitudinal sample, investigating childhood and adulthood factors that potentially would influence the instance of adult migraine prevalence. Parental social class at birth, sex, childhood instance of migraine, intelligence, education, occupation, and the Big Five personality traits were investigated in relation to adulthood migraine instance. Due to evidence that demonstrates the biological and aetiological determinants of illness, our first hypothesis was that childhood migraine would be significantly associated with migraine instance in adulthood (H1). Based on the link between socio-economic conditions and health outcomes it was hypothesised that parental social class would be significantly and negatively associated with the prevalence of migraine in adulthood (H2). Based on the previous findings, it was hypothesised that childhood intelligence would be significantly and negatively associated with migraine in adulthood (H3). Furthermore, based on the literature that implicates the influence of personality traits on a number of health outcomes trait neuroticism was predicted to be significantly and positively associated with migraine (H4) and trait conscientiousness was predicted to be significantly and negatively associated with the outcome variable (H5).

2. Method

2.1. Sample

The National Child Development Study (the 1958 British birth cohort) is a large-scale longitudinal study of the 17,415 individuals who were born in Great Britain in a week in March 1958 [37]. The following analysis is based on data collected at birth, at ages 7, 11, 33 and at 50 years. Information of migraine onset in childhood was provided at age 7 years (response = 94%). Children at age 11 years completed tests of cognitive ability (response = 87%). At the age 33 years respondents provided information on educational qualifications. At age 50 years, participants completed a questionnaire on personality traits (response = 69%), and provided information on the prevalence of migraine (response = 79%). Participants also provided information on their current occupational levels. The analytic sample comprises 5799 cohort members (51% females) with complete data. Analysis of response bias in the cohort data showed that the achieved adult samples

did not differ from their target sample across a number of critical variables (social class, parental education and sex), despite a slight under-representation of the most disadvantaged groups [38]. Bias due to attrition of the sample during childhood has been shown to be minimal [39].

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Childhood measures

Parental social class at birth was measured by the Registrar General's measure of social class (RGSC). RGSC is defined according to occupational status and the associated education, prestige or lifestyle [40] and is assessed by the current or last held job. Where the father was absent, the social class (RGSC) of the mother was used. RGSC was coded on a six-point scale: I professional; II managerial/tech; IIIN skilled non-manual; IIIM skilled manual; IV semi-skilled; and V unskilled occupations [41]. At birth mothers were interviewed and provided information on gestational age and birth weight, and mothers were interviewed again when participants were at age 7 on whether cohort members ever had migraine diagnosed by physicians by the time of interview. Childhood cognitive ability tests [42] were accessed when cohort members were at age 11, consisting of 40 verbal and 40 non-verbal items, and were administered at school. Scores from these two set of tests correlate strongly with scores on an IQ-type test used for secondary school selection ($r = 0.93$, [42]) suggesting a high degree of validity.

2.2.2. Adulthood measures

At age 33 years, participants were asked about their highest academic or vocational qualifications. Responses are coded to the six-point scale of National Vocational Qualifications levels (NVQ) which ranges from 'none' to 'university degree/higher/equivalent NVQ 5 or 6'. Data on current or last occupation held by cohort members at age 50 years were coded according to the Registrar General's Classification of Occupations (RGSC), described above (parental social class), using a 6-point classification mentioned above. Personality traits were assessed by the 50 questions from the International Personality Item Pool (IPIP) [43]. Responses (5-point, from "Strongly Agree" to "Strongly Disagree") are summed to provide scores on the 'Big-Five' personality traits: Extraversion, Emotionality/Neuroticism, Conscientiousness, Agreeableness, and Intellect/Openness. Z-scores were used for the regression analysis. Alphas for the Big-Five factors ranged from 0.73 to 0.88. Migraine at age 50 years was assessed by a question "Are you currently suffering from Migraine?" with Yes/No response.

2.3. Statistical analysis

First, the characteristics of the study population were examined. Second, correlational analysis on the measures in the study were conducted to examine bivariate associations. Third, logistic regression analysis was conducted using STATA version 12 with migraine at age 50 as the dependent variable, adjusting for all predictors simultaneously to determine their unique risk. Further, gestational age and birth weight were controlled in the model as findings show the link between these conditions and health outcomes [44,45].

3. Results

3.1. Descriptive analysis

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study population according to the rate of migraine at 50 years. Results showed the percentage of the prevalence of migraine in adulthood was 8.1 for the total sample. There were sex differences in the prevalence of migraine in adulthood, women had greater rate of migraine than men (11.4% vs 4.6%). ANOVA showed that the sex differences in the prevalence of migraine in adulthood were statistically significant (F $(1,5797) = 90.93$,

Table 1
Social and demographic characteristics of the study population in childhood and adulthood and the prevalence of migraine at age 50.

Measures	n	%	Prevalence of migraine %
Sex			
Male	2869	49.4	4.6
Female	2930	50.6	11.4
Parental social class at birth			
Unskilled (V)	419	7.2	6.4
Partly skilled (IV)	665	11.5	7.5
Skilled manual (III)	2825	48.7	8.4
Skilled non-manual (III)	653	11.3	6.3
Managerial/tech (II)	917	15.8	8.6
Professional (I)	320	5.5	10.3
Educational qualifications at age 33			
No qualifications	404	7.0	7.2
CSE 2-5/equivalent NVQ1	651	11.2	7.7
O Level/equivalent NVQ2	2002	34.5	9.0
A level/equivalent NVQ 3	901	15.5	6.9
Higher qualification/equivalent NVQ4	956	16.5	8.4
University Degree/equivalent NVQ 5, 6	885	15.3	7.3
Own current social class at age 50			
Unskilled (V)	115	2.0	11.3
Partly skilled (IV)	614	10.6	9.8
Skilled manual (III)	1014	17.5	5.7
Skilled non-manual (III)	1205	20.8	10.7
Managerial/tech (II)	2476	42.7	7.6
Professional (I)	375	6.5	5.3

Table 2
Odds ratios (95% CI) for migraine at age 50, according to parental social class, migraine in childhood, sex, childhood intelligence, educational qualifications, occupation, and personality traits.

Measures	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p-value
Sex	2.24 (1.68, 2.99)***	<0.001
Parental social class at birth (*unskilled as reference group*)		
Partly skilled	1.35 (0.71, 1.94)	0.296
Skilled manual	1.26 (0.77, 2.08)	0.358
Skilled non-manual	0.92 (0.50, 1.69)	0.784
Managerial/tech	1.57 (0.90, 2.72)	0.109
Professional	2.01 (1.05, 3.86)*	0.035
Migraine in childhood	1.76 (1.23, 2.50)**	0.002
Childhood intelligence	1.01 (0.87, 1.17)	0.913
Educational qualifications (*no qualification as reference group*)		
CSE 2–5/equivalent NVQ1	0.93 (0.52, 1.65)	0.797
O Level/equivalent NVQ2	1.10 (0.66, 1.83)	0.711
A level/equivalent NVQ 3	0.97 (0.54, 1.72)	0.907
Higher qualification/equivalent NVQ4	1.32 (0.75, 2.32)	0.330
University Degree/equivalent NVQ 5, 6	0.86 (0.45, 1.62)	0.640
Own social class (*unskilled as reference group*)		
Partly skilled	0.73 (0.34, 1.55)	0.415
Skilled manual	0.65 (0.31, 1.39)	0.267
Skilled non-manual	0.81 (0.39, 1.65)	0.558
Managerial/tech	0.59 (0.29, 1.20)	0.144
Professional	0.47 (0.19, 1.17)	0.104
Extraversion	0.90 (0.79, 1.02)	0.093
Neuroticism	1.17 (1.26, 1.06)**	0.003
Agreeableness	1.10 (0.95, 1.28)	0.202
Conscientiousness	0.94 (0.83, 1.06)	0.292
Openness	1.14 (0.99, 1.31)	0.069

Note: Adjusted for gestational age and birth weight.
* $p < 0.05$.
** $p < 0.01$.
*** $p < 0.001$.

$p < 0.001$). There were no significant differences between males and females for the occurrence of migraine in childhood.

Table 1 shows there was no clear pattern on the prevalence of migraine among the different educational levels. It appeared that for parental occupational levels, cohort members from the professional families had the greatest percentage of the prevalence of migraine in adulthood; whereas for own occupational levels, the unskilled had the greatest percentage of the prevalence of migraine in adulthood.

3.2. Regression analysis

Table 2 shows that among socio-demographic, biomedical, and psychological factors in childhood and adulthood migraine in childhood, the highest parental social class level, sex, and trait neuroticism were significant predictors of the prevalence of migraine in adulthood. Women showed a greater prevalence of migraine in adulthood then men. Cohort members who were from professional family background were more likely to suffer from migraine in adulthood. Cohort members who scored lower on neuroticism were less likely to report migraine in adulthood. Thus H1 and H4 were supported, H3 and H5 were not supported, and H2 was refuted.

4. Discussion

This study demonstrates a number of findings part replicative and part new. First, sex was a predictor of migraine aged 50 years. Nearly all studies in this area confirm this finding and many hypotheses have been proposed to explain these differences including fluctuations in sex hormone and receptor binding, genetic factors, differences in exposure to environmental stressors, as well as differences in response to stress and pain perception. It is possible that each and all of these nature/nurture factors plays a part.

Second, migraine in childhood diagnosed by physicians was a predictor of migraine at aged 50 years. The stability of this symptom over time could be interpreted as evidence of a biologically caused illness that is evidenced early in life. It may also be in part, due to the genetically based susceptibility to pain.

Third, trait neuroticism was a predictor of adult migraine, after taking account the effects of socio-demographic, biomedical, and intelligence factors on adult migraine. As neuroticism is significantly associated with symptoms of anxiety and depression [36,46], treatment for migraine might be more effective when interventions such as cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) [47], one of the most effective interventions for depression, are used together with medical treatment [48].

The World Health Organisations International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) uses Neuroticism as a central organising principle considering the stress-related, somatoform and dissociative disorders, the nature, diagnosis and treatment of Neuroticism continues to be discussed [49]. It has long been recognised a psychological trait of profound public health significance. Neuroticism is a robust correlate and predictor of many different mental and physical disorders [50]. It has been shown that Neuroticism is the most powerful Big-Five personality predictor of mental well-being [51], physical health [52], and work success [53]. People with high scores on Neuroticism scales are prone to anxiety, depression and hypochondriasis which affects all aspects of their educational, social and work lives.

Fourth, parental professional status predicted migraine at age 50 years, cohort members who came from more professional families tended to report more migraine in adulthood compared to cohort members who came from families of unskilled parents. This is an unexpected finding, as most studies have shown a negative association between higher social class and various health problems [54,55]. It could be argued, that the pressing life demands of today's professional families might function as an environmental risk factor for children, particularly if the children are under stress from high achievement expectations of high achieving parents. Unalp, Dirik, and Kurul [56] found a positive association between the high education level of parents and migraine and tension-type headache in their adolescent offspring. Moreover, the relationship between socioeconomic status and migraine might be more

complex. There is evidence that socioeconomic status has a differential effect on individuals with and without migraine family history [57]. Future studies are required to clarify the role of socioeconomic status and identify possible moderating factors.

Interestingly a number of possible correlates were not significant: Thus there was no relationship between intelligence, education level, conscientiousness and migraine which dispel some myths about "brainy people getting headaches" [36]. Similarly the regression indicated that only one personality variable in the Big Five was associated with migraines so contradicting some earlier findings.

4.1. Strengths and limitations

The current study has two strengths. First, it used a large, nationally representative longitudinal birth cohort; second, it is among the first that examined socio-economic (parental social class, education, occupation), biomedical (migrant onset in childhood diagnosed by physicians), and psychological (intelligence and personality) factors together to ascertain whether and to what extent each type of factors explain the unique variance of the outcome variable.

As with all research using cohort studies, this work is constrained by available variables in the dataset rather than being based on the study designed for the purpose, thus variables included in the study do not have a wide scope in investigating correlates of the outcome variable. Another limitation is the attrition of respondents over time. It may be that missing data at the individual level and at the variable level has affected the validity of the results. Sample attrition is greatest among individuals in more deprived circumstances, our results may thus be a conservative estimate of the long term influence of childhood experience. Further, the outcome variable was self-reported measure rather than diagnosed by physicians, though research in self-reported heath has found to be linked to mortality [58,59]. Moreover, personality traits were only measured once, at age 50 years, therefore the findings in part, are cross-sectional and longitudinal data of personality traits are required to confirm the findings. Besides, it is not clear whether high Neuroticism is due to over-reporting migraine as high Neuroticism is putatively a marker of somatization or it is caused by true neurobiological underpinnings of the measure. Genetic and neurobiological data are required to ascertain these questions.

Future research would benefit by having more details about the acute and chronic nature of a person's migraines, as well as how they personally "cope" when experiencing one. It may be that these factors are differentially and sensitively related to the variables assessed in this study. The role trait Neuroticism plays in migraines suggests, as many clinicians know, that migraine sufferers may benefit from various therapies aimed at helping people with high neuroticism cope more effectively with their anxiety and depression.

Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest whatsoever in this research or paper.

Acknowledgements

References

[1] M. de Tommaso, A. Federici, A. Loiacono, M. Delussi, O. Todarello, Personality profiles and coping styles in migraine patients with fibromyalgia comorbidity, Compr. Psychiatry 55 (1) (2014) 80–86.
[2] P.J. Goadsby, R.B. Lipton, M.D. Ferrari, Migraine—current understanding and treatment, N. Engl. J. Med. 346 (4) (2002) 257–270.
[3] L.J. Stovner, K. Hagen, R. Jensen, Z. Katsarava, R.B. Lipton, A.I. Scher, T.J. Steiner, J.A. Zwart, The global burden of headache: a documentation of headache prevalence and disability worldwide, Cephalalgia 27 (3) (2007) 193–210.
[4] S.W. Hamelsky, R.B. Lipton, W.F. Stewart, An assessment of the burden of migraine using the willingness to pay model, Cephalalgia 25 (2) (2005) 87–100.
[5] R.B. Lipton, M.E. Bigal, M. Diamond, F. Freitag, M.L. Reed, W.F. Stewart, Migraine prevalence, disease burden, and the need for preventive therapy, Neurology 68 (5) (2007) 343–349.
[6] R.B. Lipton, W.F. Stewart, S. Diamond, M.L. Diamond, M. Reed, Prevalence and burden of migraine in the United States: data from the American Migraine Study II, Headache J. Head Face Pain 41 (7) (2001) 646–657.
[7] R.B. Lipton, M.E. Bigal, The epidemiology of migraine, Am. J. Med. Suppl. 118 (2005) 3–10.
[8] W.F. Stewart, R.B. Lipton, D.D. Celentano, M.L. Reed, Prevalence of migraine headache in the United States. Relation to age, income, race, and other sociodemographic factors, JAMA 267 (1992) 64–69.
[9] P. Stang, B. Sternfeld, S. Sidney, Migraine headache in a prepaid health plan: ascertainment, demographics, physiological, and behavioral factors, Headache J. Head Face Pain 36 (2) (1996) 69–76.
[10] L.J. Launer, G.M. Terwindt, M.D. Ferrari, The prevalence and characteristics of migraine in a population based cohort: the GEM study, Neurology 53 (1999) 537–542.
[11] B. O'Brien, R. Goeree, D. Streiner, Prevalence of migraine headache in Canada: a population-based survey, Int. J. Epidemiol. 23 (1994) 1020–1026.
[12] B.K. Rasmussen, Migraine and tension-type headache in a general population: psychosocial factors, Int. J. Epidemiol. 21 (6) (1992) 1138–1143.
[13] K.E. Waldie, M. Hausmann, B.J. Milne, R. Poulton, Migraine and cognitive function. A life-course study, Neurology 59 (2002) 904–908.
[14] L. Ligthart, D.I. Boomsma, Causes of comorbidity: Pleiotropy or causality? Shared genetic and environmental influences on migraine and neuroticism, Twin Res. Hum. Genet. 15 (02) (2012) 158–165.
[15] E.K.G. Syvälahti, Biological aspects of depression, Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 89 (s377) (1994) 11–15.
[16] M.J. Owens, C.B. Nemeroff, Role of serotonin in the pathophysiology of depression: focus on the serotonin transporter, Clin. Chem. 40 (2) (1994) 288–295.
[17] J.K. Staley, R.T. Malison, R.B. Innis, Imaging of the serotonergic system: interactions of neuroanatomical and functional abnormalities of depression, Biol. Psychiatry 44 (7) (1998) 534–549.

Appendix 1

Pearson product-moment correlations of variables in the study.

	Variables	Mean (SD)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1.	Migraine at age 50	0.08 (0.27)	_											
2.	Sex	0.51 (0.50)	**0.124****	_										
3.	Parental social class	3.34 (1.24)	**0.109****	-0.018	_									
4.	Childhood migraine	0.08 (0.27)	**0.040***	-0.005	-0.038*	_								
5.	Childhood intelligence	104.1 (12.8)	**0.018**	0.076**	0.256**	-0.018	_							
6.	Educational qualifications	2.69 (1.45)	**-0.006**	-0.082**	0.325**	-0.037*	0.485**	_						
7.	Own occupational levels	4.11 (1.20)	**-0.022**	-0.013	0.209**	-0.009	0.323**	0.454**	_					
8.	Extraversion	29.47 (6.60)	**-0.014**	0.080**	0.033	0.022	0.018	0.071**	0.123**	_				
9.	Neuroticism	28.94 (7.06)	**0.069****	0.134**	-0.028	0.010	-0.088**	-0.084**	-0.075**	-0.209**	_			
10.	Agreeableness	36.85 (5.28)	**0.062****	0.404**	0.042*	-0.016	0.119**	0.083**	0.107**	0.361**	0.055**	_		
11.	Conscientiousness	34.00 (5.27)	**0.001**	0.104**	0.015	0.001	0.039*	0.066**	0.087**	0.144**	0.182**	0.277**	_	
12.	Openness	32.53 (5.17)	**0.017**	-0.013	0.141**	0.014	0.278**	0.325**	0.246**	0.395**	0.094**	0.338**	0.225**	_

Note: Variables were scored such that a higher score indicated being female, the occurrence of migraine in childhood or adulthood, a more professional occupation for parents or cohort members, higher scores on childhood intelligence, highest educational qualification, higher scores on traits extraversion, neuroticism, agreeableness, conscientiousness, and openness. Associations between migraine in adulthood and other variables are in bold.

* $p < 0.05$.
** $p < 0.01$.

[18] P.R. Martin, C. Theunissen, The role of life event stress, coping and social support in chronic headaches, Headache J. Head Face Pain 33 (6) (1993) 301–306.

[19] L. Robbins, Precipitating factors in migraine: a retrospective review of 494 patients, Headache J. Head Face Pain 34 (4) (1994) 214–216.

[20] G.G. Schoonman, D.J. Evers, B.E. Ballieux, E.J. de Geus, E.R. de Kloet, G.M. Terwindt, J.G. van Dijk, M.D. Ferrari, Is stress a trigger factor for migraine? Psychoneuroendocrinology 32 (5) (2007) 532–538.

[21] S.E. Hampson, H.S. Friedman, Personality and health: A lifespan perspective, in: O.P. John, R. Robins, L. Pervin (Eds.), The Handbook of Personality, 3, Guilford, New York, NY 2008, pp. 770–794.

[22] T. Bogg, B.W. Roberts, Conscientiousness and health-related behaviors: a meta-analysis of the leading behavioral contributors to mortality, Psychol. Bull. *130* (6) (2004) 887–919.

[23] B.P. Chapman, B. Roberts, P. Duberstein, Personality and longevity: knowns, unknowns, and implications for public health and personalized medicine, J. Aging Res. 759170 (2011) 1–24.

[24] R.D. Goodwin, H.S. Friedman, Health status and the five-factor personality traits in a nationally representative sample, J. Health Psychol. 11 (5) (2006) 643–654.

[25] G. Hagger-Johnson, S. Sabia, H. Nabi, E. Brunner, M. Kivimaki, M. Shipley, A. Singh–Manoux, Low conscientiousness and risk of all-cause, cardiovascular and cancer mortality over 17 years: Whitehall II cohort study, J. Psychosom. Res. 73 (2) (2012) 98–103.

[26] M.L. Kern, H.S. Friedman, Do conscientious individuals live longer? A quantitative review, Health Psychol. 27 (5) (2008) 505–512.

[27] H.J. Eysenck, M.W. Eysenck, Personality and individual differences: A natural science approach, Plenum, New York, 1985.

[28] C. Boz, S. Velioglu, M. Ozmenoglu, K. Sayar, Z. Alioglu, B. Yalman, M. Topbas, Temperament and character profiles of patients with tension-type headache and migraine, Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci. 58 (5) (2004) 536–543.

[29] J. Brandt, D. Celentano, W. Stewart, M. Linet, M.F. Folstein, Personality and emotional disorder in a community sample of migraine headache sufferers, Am. J. Psychiatry 147 (3) (1990) 303–308.

[30] N. Breslau, P. Andreski, Migraine, personality, and psychiatric comorbidity, Headache 35 (7) (1995) 382–386.

[31] H. Friedman, M. Kern, Personality, well-being and health, Annu. Rev. Psychol. 65 (2014) 719–742.

[32] C.F. Manlick, D.W. Black, A. Stumpf, B. McCormick, J. Allen, Symptoms of migraine and its relationship to personality disorder in a non-patient sample, J. Psychosom. Res. 73 (6) (2012) 479–480.

[33] G.D. Batty, E. Mortensen, A.M. Nybo Andersen, M. Osler, Childhood intelligence in relation to adult coronary heart disease and stroke risk: evidence from a Danish birth cohort study, Paediatr. Perinat. Epidemiol. 19 (2005) 452–459.

[34] T. Chandola, I.J. Deary, D. Blane, G.D. Batty, Childhood IQ in relation to obesity and weight gain in adult life: the National Child Development (1958) study, Int. J. Obes. 30 (9) (2006) 1422–1432.

[35] G.D. Batty, K.M. Wennerstad, G.D. Smith, D. Gunnell, I.J. Deary, P. Tynelius, et al., IQ in early adulthood and mortality by middle age: cohort study of 1 million Swedish men, Epidemiology 20 (1) (2009) 100–109.

[36] A. Furnham, Personality and Intelligence at Work, Routledge, London, 2008.

[37] E. Ferri, J. Bynner, M. Wadsworth, Changing Britain, Changing Lives: Three Generations at the Turn of the Century, Institute of Education, London, 2003.

[38] K. Fogelman, Britain's 16-Year-Olds, National Children's Bureau, London, 1976.

[39] I. Plewis, L. Calderwood, D. Hawkes, G. Nathan, National Child Development Study and 1970 British Cohort Study, Technical Report: Changes in the NCDS and BCS70 Populations and Samples over Time, Institute of Education, Centre for Longitudinal Studies, London, 2004.

[40] C. Marsh, Social class and occupation, in: R. Burgess (Ed.), Key Variables in Social Investigation, Routledge, London, 1986.

[41] R. Leete, J. Fox, Registrar General's social classes: origins and users, Popul. Trends 8 (1977) 1–7.

[42] J.W.B. Douglas, The Home and the School, Panther Books, London, 1964.

[43] L.R. Goldberg, A broad-bandwidth, public domain, personality inventory measuring the lower-level facets of several five-factor models, Personality Psychology in Europe, 7, 1999, pp. 7–28.

[44] E.M. Boyle, G. Poulsen, D.J. Field, J.J. Kurinczuk, D. Wolke, Z. Alfirevic, M.A. Quigley, Effects of Gestational Age at Birth on Health Outcomes at 3 and 5 Years of Age: Population Based Cohort Study, 344, 2012.

[45] B.R. Vohr, L.L. Wright, A.M. Dusick, L. Mele, J. Verter, J.J. Steichen, ... M.D. Kaplan, Neurodevelopmental and functional outcomes of extremely low birth weight infants in the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development neonatal research network, 1993–1994, Pediatrics 105 (6) (2000) 1216–1226.

[46] H. Cheng, A. Furnham, Personality, self-esteem, and demographic predictions of happiness and depression, Personal. Individ. Differ. 34 (2003) 921–942.

[47] A.T. Beck, Cognitive Therapy and the Emotional Disorders, Penguin Books, NY, USA, New York, 1979.

[48] F. Chan, E.D.S. Cardoso, J.A. Chronister, Understanding Psychosocial Adjustment to Chronic Illness and Disability: A Handbook for Evidence-Based Practitioners in Rehabilitation, Springer Publishing Company, New York, 2009.

[49] D.H. Barlow, D.S. Sauer-Zavala, J.R. Carl, J.R. Bullis, K.K. Ellard, The Nature, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Neuroticism: Back to the Future, Clinical Psychological Science 2 (3) (2014) 344–365.

[50] B.B. Lahey, Public health significance of neuroticism, Am. Psychol. 64 (4) (2009) 241.

[51] A. Furnham, H. Cheng, Personality as predictors of mental health and happiness in the east and west, Personal. Individ. Differ. 27 (1999) 395–403.

[52] A.R. Sutin, A. Terracciano, B. Deiana, S. Naitza, L. Ferrucci, M. Uda, ... P.T. Costa, High neuroticism and low conscientiousness are associated with interleukin-6, Psychol. Med. 40 (9) (2010) 1485–1493.

[53] F. De Fruyt, B.J. De Clerq, J. Miller, J.-P. Rolland, S.-C. Jung, R. Taris, A. Furnham, A. van Hiel, Assessing personality at risk in personnel selection and development, Eur. J. Personal. 23 (2009) 51–69.

[54] R. Wilkinson, M. Marmot, The Solid Facts, World Health Organization, Copenhagen, 2003.

[55] R.G. Wilkinson, K.E. Pickett, Income inequality and population health: A review and explanation of the evidence, Soc. Sci. Med. 62 (2006) 1768–1784.

[56] A. Unalp, E. Dirik, S. Kurul, Prevalence and clinical findings of migraine and tension-type headache in adolescents, Pediatr. Int. 49 (2007) 943–949.

[57] M.E. Bigal, R.B. Lipton, P. Winner, M.L. Reed, S. Diamond, W.F. Stewart, Migraine in adolescents: Association with socioeconomic status and family history, Neurology 69 (2007) 16–25.

[58] S. Heistaro, P. Jousilahti, E. Lahelma, P. Puska, Self-rated health and mortality: a long term prospective study in eastern Finland, J. Epidemiol. Community Health 55 (2001) 227–232.

[59] G.A. Kaplan, T. Camacho, Perceived health and mortality: a nine-year follow-up of the human population laboratory cohort, Am. J. Epidemiol. 117 (1983) 292–304.